



Page 1 of 4

Evidence Based Intervention

Cosmetic interventions for individuals with Gender

Dysphoria or post Gender Reassignment surgery

July 2022 v1.0

Document Owner:	Dr Rachel Joyce – Medical Director	
Document Author(s):	Clinical Policies Group	
Version:	v1.0	
Approved By:	Commissioning Committee	
Date of Approval:	1 st July 2022	
Date of Review:	1 st July 2024	

Policy

NHS England funds gender identity disorder surgical services as part of specialised commissioning, with services provided by specialist gender identity surgical providers. This includes the complete gender reassignment process to completion of transition, from specialist assessment, preparation for gender reassignment procedures, surgery, and immediate associated aftercare. Further information, including service specifications for surgical and non-surgical interventions, can be found from NHS commissioning » Gender Dysphoria Clinical Programme (england.nhs.uk)

It would be expected that patients undergoing gender reassignment will have all procedures required as part of transition, and the ICB would only consider funding additional cosmetic procedures on a case-by-case basis as part of the usual individual funding process and through consideration of the respective relevant policies (e.g., cosmetic procedures).

In light of increasing requests and appeals to ICB's for further treatment to complete phenotypic gender transition after gender reassignment surgery, a systematic literature review was conducted to answer the following question: What is appropriate cosmetic treatment following gender reassignment surgery? The rational to this question was that where a patient has had GRS they should be treated as any other individual of their (adopted) gender with regards to eligibility to interventions for aesthetic non-clinical reasons. In addition to the literature identified in the review the following statements were made with consideration of the four ethical principles of beneficence, non-maleficence, justice (equity) and autonomy.

- A No directly relevant evidence was found regarding the question of what cosmetic treatment might be appropriate following GRS apart from the provision of phono surgery by the London policy document¹
- B A 'cosmetic' intervention might be equitably provided to a person who has had gender reassignment surgery if NOT providing the treatment undermines the person's gender identity including their ability to function socially and be accepted as their adopted gender, rather than merely fulfilling a desire to improve one's appearance². Generally this will only be for characteristics outside the normal range for the persons adopted gender². (Statement level D, appendix)
- C- Points a and b (above are dependent on the individuals making fully informed choices regarding the risks and implication of the procedures and the likelihood that their expectations will be realised (i.e., autonomy and non-maleficence).

IFR panels will take these points into consideration in their deliberations.

References

- 1. London gender dysphoria consortium criteria for approved procedures (final draft)
- 2. De Vries ALC, Dorelejiers TAH, Cohen-Kettenis PT. Disorders of sex development and gender identity outcome in adolescence in adulthood: understanding gender identity development and its clinical implications. Paediatric Endocrinology Reviews, 2007; 4: 343-351.
- 3. GIRES. Guidance for GPs, other clinicians and health professionals on the care of gender variant people. DOH 2008.
- 4. Suffolk SUFFOLK PCT Low Priority Treatment PE23. Treatment of gender dysphoria December 2006 5.
- 5. Thompson S. 2008. Gender Dysphoria COMMISSIONING POLICY. Health Commission Wales (HCW)
- 6. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of Biomedical Ethics, 5th edn. Oxford University Press, 2001. ISBN 0-19-514332-9
- 7. Pacey S. Torture, transformation or treatment? Ethics and physical interventions to the sexual self. Sexual and Marital Therapy, 1999; 14: 255-275.
- 8. Mate-Kole C, Freschi M, Robin A. A controlled study of psychological and social change after surgical gender reassignment in selected male transsexuals. British Journal of Psychiatry, 1990; 157:261-264.
- 9. Tugnet N, Goddard JC, Vickery RM, Khoosal D, Terry TR. Current management of male-tofemale gender identity disorder in the UK. Postgraduate Medicine Journal, 2007; 83: 638-642.
- 10. Wylie K, Hainsworth K, Ryles S. The support needs of people awaiting a primary assessment at a UK gender reassignment programme. International Journal of Transgenderism, 2007; 10:91-97.
- 11.Lavin M. Mutilation, deception, and sex changes. Journal of Medical Ethics, 1987; 13: 86-91
- 12.Mason N. The transsexual dilemma: being a transsexual. Journal of Medical Ethics, 1980; 6: 85-89.
- 13. Cohen-Kettenis PT, de Waal D, Gooren LJG. The Treatment of Adolescent Transsexuals: Changing Insights. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2008; 8: 1892-1897.
- 14. Levine B, Solomon A. Meanings and political implications of "psychopathology" in a gender identity clinic: a report of 10 cases. Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 2009; 35: 40-57.
- 15.Lev AI. Disordering Gender Identity: Gender Identity Disorder in the DSM-IVTR. Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality, 2005; 17: 35-69

Change History:

Version	Date	Reviewer(s)	Revision Description

DOCUMENT CONTROL

This is a controlled document. Whilst this document may be printed, the electronic version posted on the intranet is the controlled copy. Any printed copies of this document are not controlled. As a controlled document, this document should not be saved onto local or network drives but should always be accessed from the website.

Do you really need to print this document?

Please consider the environment before you print this document and where copies should be printed double-sided. Please also consider setting the Page Range in the Print properties, when relevant to do so, to avoid printing the policy in its entirety.